UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN SCHOOL OF NURSING

Office of Student, Academic, and Multicultural Services

Phone: (734) 763-5985 Email: umsn-advisors@med.umich.edu

School of Nursing Preliminary Examination & Dissertation Evaluation Form

Student Name: _____

Date of Exam/Dissertation: _____

Dissertation Committee Chair:

Other Dissertation Committee Members:

This form is to be completed by the Chair with input from the committee. The evaluation should be based on both the written proposal and the oral defense. Students must receive an average score >3 to receive a "Satisfactory" grade. Please return the completed form to the PhD Program Director, 4320 400NIB, and OSAMS, 1160 400NIB or <u>UMSN-advisors@med.umich.edu</u>.

	Poor (1)	Developing (2)	Good (3)	Outstanding (4)	Overall Score
Knowledge	 Poor breadth and depth of understanding of the area of study; Difficulty evaluating background literature; Difficulty understanding implications of existing research. 	 Limited breadth or depth (but not both) of the subject; With some help, could synthesize and evaluate background literature; Limited understanding of implications of existing research. 	 Sufficient breadth and depth of understanding; Could identify and discuss key background for the study; Some attempts at discussing implications of most important research findings. 	 Solid breadth and depth of knowledge; Able to integrate information from multiple sources. Able to describe, discuss, critically evaluate relevant background information; Could draw clear conclusions from and discuss implications of most important research findings. 	1 2 3 4
Hypotheses and/or Research Questions	 Unfocused; None provided; No rationale is provided. 	 Able to formulate purposeful research questions, but has difficulty explaining rationale; Imprecise/poorly stated; Significance is unclear. 	 Hypotheses and research questions are well-stated with adequate rationale; Significance of hypotheses and research questions is clear and well stated. 	 Very significant and novel hypotheses/research questions; Strong, clear rationale for hypotheses/research questions; 	1 2 3 4

Research and Design Methods (Technical Skills, if applicable)	 Study design not clear; No research method is specified; Limitations of methods not understood or discussed; Have no technical skills to carry out the research. 	 Study design is explained, but lacks theoretical support; Rationale for selected research methods is not well established; Awareness of some weaknesses in research design; Some awareness of alternative approaches; Have limited technical skills to carry out the research project. 	 Study design and selected methods are generally sufficient to address the hypotheses but need some modification; Could identify strengths and weaknesses of research design and methods; Demonstrates understanding of alternative approaches; Have sufficient technical skills to carry out the research project. 	 Able to identify and logically discuss strengths and weaknesses of research design and methods; Understands the theory Appropriately compared and discussed alternative research approaches; Have advanced technical skills to conduct the study. 	1 2 3 4
Data Analysis and Discussion of Results (Dissertation Defense only)	 Data not analyzed or not presented in a coherent fashion, no insight in analyzing data at deeper level shown; Results not interpreted or not interpretable. 	 Data presentation is unclear and incoherent in some cases, little insight into meaning of data; Results interpreted, but serious flaws in analysis approach. 	 Data analysis and presentation clear and understandable, some evidence of deeper interpretation and analysis of data; Interpretation consistent with data. 	 Data presentation is highly organized and clear, deep analysis and understanding of all the data and their implications; Results clear and very well explained. 	1 2 3 4
Communication	 Disorganized slides and/or write-up with grammatical errors; Arguments are incomplete or poorly organized; Did not understand/address the questions asked; Poor English language skills. 	 Slides or write-up not very clear; Oral presentation was clear, but student had to read the slides most of the time; Arguments are logical and organized; Understood most of the questions but provided only partial answers; Spoken English was, for the most part, understandable. 	 Write-up and slides largely well written; Some discontinuities during the oral presentation; Arguments are articulated and well organized; Understood questions and provided adequate answers; Could be readily understood. 	 Slides and write-up clearly written in the appropriate format; Poised and polished in the oral presentation; Understood the questions and provided clear, thorough answers; Took the discussion to a higher level. 	1 2 3 4

Additional Comments:_____